



## 'United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	States Patent and Trademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,801	04/27/2001	Masakazu Suzuki	125A 3110	2553
7590 04/06/2004			EXAM	INER
KODA & ANDROLIA			SAX, STEVEN PAUL	
Suite 3850 2029 Century I	Park East		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3024			2174	<
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004	<b>,</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	h				
	•	09/844,801	SUZUKI ET AL.	•				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Steven P Sax	2174					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communications	on appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence addre	ss				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day 0 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the departent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this common the mailing date of this common the mailing date of this common the mailing date.	unication.				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b>1</b> .						
		This action is non-final.						
3)□	, <del>_</del>							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1-18,20,22,24-33,35 and 36 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 19,21,23 and 34 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the oath or declaration is objected to by			• •				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Elee the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  uments have been received in e priority documents have bee  Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ge				
Attachmen	t/e)							
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/- tr No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)	2)				

Application/Control Number: 09/844,801

Art Unit: 2174

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- This application has been examined.
- <sup>1</sup>2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 19, 21, 23, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto (6677944) and Nishino (5623583) and Mochizuki (6263093).
- 4. Regarding claim 19, Yamamoto shows displaying three dimensional data as a combination of three sectional images of an X-section, Y-section, and Z-section (column 2 lines 14-35 and 50-57, column 4 lines 30-55, column 9 lines 15-27, column 13 lines 15-46, column 14 lines 30-45) by processing three dimensional data obtained by imaging (column 14 lines 15-30). Yamamoto does not specifically say that the sections orthogonalize each other, but does mention maximizing the utilization of section information to make the model. Furthermore, Nishino shows using orthogonal sections to each other (Nishino column 14 lines 30-46, column 10 lines 25-57 for example) to maximize utilization of the section information to make the model orthogonal sections do

Application/Control Number: 09/844,801

Art`Unit: 2174

not have any overlap of component information. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have the sections orthogonal to each other in Yamamoto, because it would maximize utilization of section information to make the model. Yamamoto shows preparing in advance sectional images of sections parallel to the X, Y, and Z sections by slicing data from the three dimensional data (Yamamoto column 13 lines 15-42, column 15 lines 13-43). Yamamoto does not necessarily show slicing the data at a predetermined interval, but does mention efficient obtaining of the data. Furthermore, Mochizuki shows efficient obtaining of the data by taking 'slices' at regular intervals (column 5 lines 40-67, column 6 lines 3-31, column 10 lines 14-47). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to do this in Yamamoto, because it would allow efficient obtaining of the data. Yamamoto shows displaying as a list displaying mode a series of sectional images selected from the X, Y, Z images previously prepared (Figures 5, 26, 27, column 16 lines 30-44, column 17 lines 30-45, column 18 lines 55-66).

- 5. Claims 21, 23, and 34 show the same features as claim 19 and are rejected for the same reasons.
- 6. Claims 1-18, 20, 22, 24-33, 35-36 are allowable over the prior art of record. The additional features that sequentially change and display the sectional images, with cursor and other interface techniques, combined, bring out new inventive concepts which are not set forth in the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,801

Art Unit: 2174

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven P Sax whose telephone number is 703-305-9582. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

\*\*\*